

POSITION STATEMENT: SAFETY CODE 35

COMP strongly endorses the provincial and territorial adoption of Health Canada Safety Code 35. Given the continual increase in the amount of diagnostic imaging and corresponding population dose, and the increase in number and complexity of interventional procedures and corresponding risk of individual tissue reactions, the need for radiation safety standards and qualified personnel has never been greater. Development of similar safety codes for nuclear medicine, magnetic resonance imaging, and ultrasound is also strongly recommended.

Executive Summary

Provincial and territorial adoption of Health Canada, Safety Code 35 (SC35) - Radiation Protection in Radiology – Large Facilities will bring Canada into alignment with international standards. The COMP Imaging Taskforce is uniquely positioned to assist Health Canada in future safety code initiatives. COMP encourages the use of the taskforce as a resource by provinces and territories as they update their radiation safety and quality control regulations. Creation of analogous guidelines for nuclear medicine, magnetic resonance imaging, and ultrasound is necessary to ensure optimal medical imaging in Canada.

Background

Safety Code 35 (SC35) was published in 2008 and brings Canada's standards in line with those in European countries and the United States. This safety code is a much-needed update of the previous Safety Code 20A (SC20A) published in 1999. SC20A focused on film technology and was severely lacking in information on digital systems. The adoption of SC35 will reduce patient dose while providing the best quality diagnostic images and a safe work environment.

With the increased use of diagnostic imaging and the parallel increase in volume and complexity of interventional fluoroscopic procedures, there is a greater need for radiation safety experts than ever before. Interventional procedures can cause tissue reactions in individual patients and diagnostic imaging, with its large number of patients, can cause stochastic effects in the population. Furthermore, training in the safe use of fluoroscopy has not kept pace with expanding clinical applications of procedures that may be performed by non-radiologists. SC35 provides guidelines for use by safety and quality assurance personnel that assist in training and privileging programs, setting dose limits, performing dose estimates, and ensuring the dose indicators provided by the equipment are accurate and reliable.

While there is room for improvement within SC35, it does provide an excellent guide for quality control and radiation safety. It defines the requirements of radiologists, medical physicists, biomedical service personnel, medical radiation technologists, and the facility radiation safety officer. The safety code outlines the minimum requirements for quality control and radiation safety. Medical physicists are ideally equipped to identify gaps, determine which parts of the code do not apply in certain scenarios, and can appropriately extend the safety code to address more advanced equipment as it enters the clinic. Through this position statement, COMP is also hoping to set an example for other organizations communicating the importance of SC35 to their members. Through COMP medical physicists can provide organized feedback to Health Canada, and optimize and standardize safety requirements and quality control testing procedures.

Implementation of SC35 will likely increase the amount of testing that many sites will need to perform, but the investment will result directly in improved quality and patient safety. Effective quality control and radiation safety programs reduce healthcare costs by identifying problematic equipment before adverse use. Early identification of problematic equipment helps reduce hospital waiting times caused by improperly functioning equipment and improves patient diagnosis and treatment by ensuring the safe operation of equipment. In addition, optimizing image quality, while keeping radiation doses to the lowest possible levels, helps reduce the future burden on the health care system, both in the short term by preventing and minimizing tissue reactions, and in the long term by minimizing stochastic effects such as cancer and cardiovascular diseases.

References

- 1. American Association of Physicists in Medicine. Quality Control in Diagnostic Radiology. AAPM Report No 74. College Park, MD: AAPM; 2002.
- 2. American Association of Physicists in Medicine. AAPM report 124: A guide for establishing a credentialing and privileging program for users of fluoroscopic equipment in healthcare organizations. December 2012.
- 3. American College of Radiology. Computed Tomography Quality Control Manual. 2012.

- Bjarnason, T. A., Thakur, Y. & Aldrich, J. E. Health Canada Safety Code 35: Awareness of the impacts for diagnostic radiology in Canada. Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal 64, 6-9 (2013).
- Health Canada. Radiation Protection in Radiology: Large Facilities. Safety Code 35. Government of Canada. 2008. Available at: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewhsemt/pubs/radiation/safety-code_35-securite/index-eng.php. Accessed April 25, 2013.
- 6. Health Canada. X-ray Equipment in Medical Diagnosis Part A: Recommended Safety Procedures for Installation and Use. Safety Code 20A. Government of Canada. 2000. Available at: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/pubs/radiation/safety-code_20securite/index-eng.php. Accessed April 25, 2013.
- Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine. Recommended Standards for the Routine Performance Testing of Diagnostic X-ray Imaging Systems. IPEM Report No 091. York, UK: IPEM; 2005.
- International Electrotechnical Commission. Evaluation and routine testing in medical imaging departments. Part 3-1: Acceptance tests - Imaging performance of X-ray equipment for radiographic and radioscopic equipment. Geneva, Switzerland: IEC; 1999.
- International Electrotechnical Commission. Evaluation and routine testing in medical imaging departments. Part 3-5: Acceptance tests - Imaging performance of computed tomography. Geneva, Switzerland: IEC; 2004.
- 10. International Electrotechnical Commission. Evaluation and routine testing in medical imaging departments. Part 2-6: Constancy tests Imaging performance of computed tomography x-ray equipment. Geneva, Switzerland: IEC; 2006.
- 11. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Quality Assurance for Diagnostic Imaging. NCRP Report No 099. Bethesda, MD: NCRP; 1988.

About the Canadian Organization of Medical Physicists

The Canadian Organization of Medical Physicists is the recognized leader and primary resource for medical physics in Canada. There are over 500 members consisting of professional physicists that work in health care, scientists, academics located at universities, hospitals, cancer centres, government research facilities (such as the National Research Council), as well as graduate students and post-doctoral fellows. COMPs mission is to champion medical physicists' efforts for patient care excellence through education, knowledge transfer, advocacy and partnerships. COMPs activities include the promotion and development of standards, policies, guidelines and research related to physics in medicine. To learn more about COMP, visit www.medphys.ca